

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,312	. 06/24/2003	Kenneth W. Marr	303.859US1	8022
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 01/25/2008 N, LUNDBERG & WO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 293	8	BRITT, CYNTHIA H		
MINNEAPOL	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ald	
Ή W	
ddress	
30) DAYS,	
communication.	
•	
ne merits is	
CFR 1.121(d).	
PTO-152.	
ıl Stage	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/609,312	MARR, KENNETH W				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cynthia Britt	2117				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	ctober 2007					
	action is non-final.					
·=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,48-65 and 76-78</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,48-62,76 and 77</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-65 and 78</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Other:						

## Art Unit: 2117

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 13-16, 48-65, and 76-78 remain pending in this application.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 63-65 and 78 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments, see Pages 8-17 with respect to claims 13-16, 48-62, 76, and 77 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 7/30/07 has been withdrawn in view of the amendments.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-16, 48-62, 76, and 77 as amended are allowable over the prior arts.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 63-65 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication 2003/0231534 Tamaki.

As per claim 63, Tamaki teaches the claimed method comprising: determining a condition of a memory device, the memory device including a plurality of memory segments (Abstract), each of the memory segments connecting to a corresponding internal node of a plurality of internal node to receive a supply source from the corresponding internal node; isolating a selected memory segment of the memory segments from the corresponding internal node connected to the selected memory segment if the selected memory segment is defective to prevent the selected memory segment from receiving the supply source at the corresponding internal node connected to the selected memory segment, and replacing the memory segment with a redundant segment if the selected memory segment is defective (Paragraphs [0018-0019]).

As per claim 64 Tamaki teaches determining a condition of a memory device includes detecting for a defect in a memory array of the memory device.

(Paragraph [0004])

As per claim 65 Tamaki teaches isolating the selected memory segment includes electrically disconnecting the selected memory segment from the corresponding internal node connected to the selected memory segment. (Figure 2 Paragraph [0041])

As per claim 78 Tamaki teaches isolating the selected memory segment includes isolating a number of static random access memory (SRAM) cells of the selected memory segment from the corresponding internal node connected to the selected memory segment (Paragraphs [0091-0095])

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Britt whose telephone number is 571-272-3815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Cynthia Britt 1-/10/08

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2117